## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Favorable reconsideration of this application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-23 are pending in the application. No claims have been amended.

In the outstanding Office Action, receipt was acknowledged of papers submitted by the International Bureau under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d); and Claims 1-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0181857 to Komatsu et al. (hereinafter Komatsu).

It is respectfully submitted that Claims 1-23 of this application are neither disclosed by nor rendered obvious by <u>Komatsu</u>.

Each of independent Claims 1 and 8 include recitation of two equal optical interferometer circuits accurately point-symmetrically connected in series. This recitation is neither disclosed by nor rendered obvious by <u>Komatsu</u>.

Specifically referring to FIG. 2 of Komatsu, it can be observed that each of the optical multiplexing/demultiplexing circuits 8 (namely, 8A, 8B, 8C) includes a single optical interferometer circuit of the Mach-Zehnder type. There is no disclosure of interferometer circuits being connected together in series in a point symmetrical type connection as stated in the Office Action. Further, there is no teaching of this feature in Komatsu. That is, there is only a single optical multiplexing/demultiplexing circuit 8 corresponding to a first optical waveguide 3 and a second optical waveguide 4 sandwiched between both a first directional coupling portion 11 and a second directional coupling portion 12.

Independent Claim 17 includes recitation of "a plurality of optical signal multiplexing and demultiplexing devices arranged in parallel to each other...having a function of multiplexing optical signals having different wavelengths input from the light input ports."

This feature is neither disclosed by nor rendered obvious by Komatsu.

Application No. 10/560,132

Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2007

Komatsu makes clear in paragraph [0077] that the purpose of the invention disclosed

therein is to make the optical transmission center wavelengths of optical

multiplexing/demultiplexing circuits 8 (8A, 8B, 8C) substantially identical. Komatsu further

states that any shift of the optical transmission center wavelengths of the optical

multiplexing/demultiplexing circuits 8 increases transmission losses of the entire circuit and

the signal separation performance deteriorates. This is in contrast with the invention recited

in Claim 17 including parallel multiplexing and demultiplexing devices having a function of

multiplexing optical signals having different wavelengths.

It is respectfully submitted that Claims 2-7, 9-16, and 18-23 are patentable over Komatsu

at least for the reasons argued above with regard to the claims from which they depend.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of Claims 1-23 over

Komatsu be reconsidered and withdrawn and that Claims 1-23 be allowed.

Consequently, for the reasons discussed in detail above no further issues are believed

to be outstanding in the present application and the present application is believed to be in

condition for formal allowance. Therefore, a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner deem that any further action is necessary to place this

application in even better form for allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the

undersigned representative at the below listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

ZZOJO

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

MLG/rac

Bradley D. Lytle
Attorney of Record

Registration No. 40,073

Michael L. Gellner

Registration No. 27,256

3